

## Kinslow, Sara

---

**From:** amend, carol  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 16, 2016 2:41 PM  
**To:** Baldwin, Edward  
**Subject:** FW: Bloom Agenda & Responses

---

**From:** JAnthony, Karen (DNREC) [mailto:Karen.JAnthony@state.de.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:24 AM  
**To:** amend, carol <amend.carol@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Bloom Agenda & Responses

Interesting they answered the questions for EPA, but when we asked the same questions we received no response.

Given it reads the "facilities in Delaware," it may be only Delaware waste being managed in the way described.

It was never explained to us, the units came from two different manufactures, it was only one, Unicat, it being owned by Bloom.

Can a ten day transfer facility accept containerized waste, open the containers, separate the waste into different waste streams and then manifest only a portion of the waste on the original manifest to a disposal facility (where no discrepancies in weight are noted)?

At a minimum, I would think a new manifest is required as the original manifest no longer describes the waste stream as a significant chunk is missing. I ask, for Delaware's regulations consider such activity in need of a Part B permit, not an activity permissible at a transfer facility.

Karen G. J'Anthony  
Environmental Program Manager  
DNREC Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances  
Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Section  
Telephone: 302.739.9403, Option 8  
Fax: 302.739.5060  
Email: [Karen.JAnthony@state.de.us](mailto:Karen.JAnthony@state.de.us)

---

**From:** amend, carol [mailto:amend.carol@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:54 AM  
**To:** JAnthony, Karen (DNREC)  
**Subject:** FW: Bloom Agenda & Responses

---

**From:** Armstead, John A.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:26 PM  
**To:** amend, carol <[amend.carol@epa.gov](mailto:amend.carol@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Bloom Agenda & Responses

FYI

*John A. Armstead, Director  
Land and Chemicals Division (3LC00)  
215-814-3100 (o)*

*Leadership - Commitment - Determination  
for  
A Safe, Clean, and Sustainable Future*

---

**From:** Garvin, Shawn

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:55 PM

**To:** Armstead, John A. <[Armstead.John@epa.gov](mailto:Armstead.John@epa.gov)>; Coe, Mary <[Coe.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Coe.Mary@epa.gov)>; Johnson, Barnes <[Johnson.Barnes@epa.gov](mailto:Johnson.Barnes@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kinslow, Sara <[Kinslow.Sara@epa.gov](mailto:Kinslow.Sara@epa.gov)>; Dangelo, AJ <[Dangelo.Aj@epa.gov](mailto:Dangelo.Aj@epa.gov)>; Martinsen, Jessica <[Martinsen.Jessica@epa.gov](mailto:Martinsen.Jessica@epa.gov)>; Ryan, Daniel <[Ryan.Daniel@epa.gov](mailto:Ryan.Daniel@epa.gov)>; Colip, Matthew <[colip.matthew@epa.gov](mailto:colip.matthew@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Bloom Agenda & Responses

John, Mary & Barnes – Below is Bloom’s suggested agenda tomorrow and attached is the responses to the questions. I am fine with the agenda with the exception of the President’s Power Policy, but let me know your thoughts on both.

Thanks – Shawn

- Introductions and statement of goals
- The Bloom Process – How we manufacture electricity
- Environmental Benefits
- Bloom Customers and their goals
- Fuel Cell Operation & Regulatory Compliance
- Impact on Users and Public Health of MPU Exception
- The President’s Power Policy
- Next Steps, Discussion